UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,582	03/25/2004	Roy Feigel	AUS920030881US1	7124	
45327 IBM CORPOR	7590 06/05/200 ATION (CS)	EXAMINER			
C/O CARR LLI	P	MA, CALVIN			
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE 900 JACKSON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX	DALLAS, TX 75202			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/809,582	FEIGEL ET AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CALVIN C. MA	2629			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>CALVIN C. MA</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Carl Reed</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 29 May 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hagiwara US Patent 5784035</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	//A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed the possible allowability of claim 1 and arqued about the scope of Hagiwara. The examiner disagreed and cited that the claim in its current form is too broad and the display device in claim 1 can be interpreted as individual pixels to be controlled. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Calvin C Ma/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Examiner's signature, if requi	red			